

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/080,886	CAVANNA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Joseph D. Torres	2133	

All Participants:

(1) Joseph D. Torres.

(2) Pamela Kee.

Date of Interview: 12 July 2004

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description: N/A.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
N/A

Claims discussed:
1-17

Prior art documents discussed:
N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

During a telephone conversation with Pamela Kee on 12 July 2004 a provisional election was made with traverse to prosecute the invention of Group I, claims 1-6 and 15-17.

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.


☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Status of Application: New Case

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: 1:30PM



(Examiner/SPZ Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)